

Harry Shulman, Esq. (209908; [harry@themillslawfirm.com](mailto:harry@themillslawfirm.com))  
 THE MILLS LAW FIRM  
 145 Marina Boulevard  
 San Rafael, California 94901  
 Telephone: (415) 455-1326  
 Facsimile: (415) 455-1327

[Additional counsel listed on signature page]

*Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAGO, INC., on behalf of itself and all others  
 similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944-SC

**DECLARATION OF HARRY  
 SHULMAN IN SUPPORT OF  
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
 TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
 CASES SHOULD BE RELATED**

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ART'S TV & APPLIANCE, on behalf of itself  
 and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CHUNGHWA PICTURE TUBES, LTD., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:07-cv-6416-JCS

1 I, Harry Shulman, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney with The Mills Law Firm. I am admitted to practice before the  
3 bar of this Court and many other federal courts, as well as all state courts in California and  
4 Colorado. I am counsel for Plaintiff Art's TV & Appliance in *Art's TV & Appliance v.*  
5 *Chunghwa Picture Tube, Ltd., et al.*, Case No. 3:07-cv-6416-JCS. I submit this Declaration in  
6 support of Art's TV & Appliance's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be  
7 Related. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and can competently testify  
8 about them if called upon to do so.

9 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the complaint captioned  
10 *Art's TV & Appliance v. Chunghwa Picture Tube, Ltd., et al.* ("Art's TV"), Case No. 3:07-cv-  
11 6416-JCS, filed on December 19, 2007 in the Northern District of California and assigned to  
12 Magistrate Judge Joseph C. Spero. The *Art's TV & Appliance* case is a proposed class action on  
13 behalf of direct purchasers of cathode ray tube ("CRT") products.

14 3. On November 26, 2007, Plaintiff Crago, Inc. filed an action in the Northern  
15 District of California alleging a conspiracy to fix prices of CRT products, styled *Crago, Inc. v.*  
16 *Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.* ("Crago"), Case No. 3:07-cv-5944-SC. That complaint  
17 alleges violations of federal antitrust laws and is the earliest filed case in the Northern District of  
18 California alleging a conspiracy among manufacturers of CRT products.

19 3. By Order dated December 5, 2007, this Court related the following action to  
20 *Crago*:

*Nathan Muchnick, Inc. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.* ("Muchnick"), Case  
21 No. 3:07-cv-05981-SC

22 4. The *Art's TV*, *Crago* and *Muchnick* cases, as well as five other related actions  
23 pending this District, involve all or a material part of the same subject matter and common  
24 defendants. Plaintiffs in each case assert claims for violations of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1,  
25 against many of the same defendants.

26 5. Plaintiff Art's TV & Appliance has not appeared in any other related action  
27 currently pending in this District.

